Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/545,334	HABBEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stuart F. Baum	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) Stuart F. Baum.	(3) <u>Debra L. Blair</u> .	
(2) <u>Karen Varley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2 March 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 9, 11, 17, 22, 24, 30, 34, 36, 43-48, 50-52, 54-56, 58-59, 64-	65	
Prior art documents discussed:  prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Ecaminer's Amendment was approved by applicant's representat		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Au bourn		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)